

REMARKS:

Applicant thanks the examiner for his continued attention to the application and especially for the indication that Claims 10 - 16 and 20 - 30 are allowed. Applicant has amended Claim 1 to even more specifically point out and distinctly claim the invention. The invention now even more clearly relates to a model train proximity detector and model train signal. The claims now clearly distinguish over Jenks and Bonanno.

In his response to applicant's prior arguments, the examiner pointed out that the recitation of the model train would be intended as a use environment for the sensor to be used. The present claims make it clear that our applicant claims only model train sensors and model train signals.

This amendment was not previously presented because the specific reason for the rejection was apparent to applicant only in the final rejection. Applicant believes that the change would require no further search and would clearly put the application in condition for allowance and accordingly entry and favorable action are requested.

Dated: March 7, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Stephen B. Salai, Registration No. 26,990
HARTER, SECREST & EMERY LLP
1600 Bausch & Lomb Place
Rochester, New York 14604
Telephone: 585-232-6500
Fax: 585-232-2152